

INSTITUTE OF ENGINEERING GEODESY AND MEASUREMENT SYSTEMS

The Importance of Calibration of Fibre Optic Sensing Systems

Prof. W. Lienhart

Graz University of Technology, Austria

werner.lienhart@tugraz.at



Measurements

Raw measurement

- Intensity
- Frequency/Wavelength
- Phase



Derived quantity

- Strain
- Strain rate
- Temperature

Relation between raw measurement and derived quantity has to be known!



Component Testing & Calibration

IGMS measurement lab

- Temperature controlled (20°C ± 0.5°C)
- Vibration isolated floor
- Static strain calibration
- Temperature calibration
- Dynamic testing
- Long term evaluation

Sound studio

- Zero noise environment
- Zero vibration environment







Fibre Optic Calibration

What is the achievable accuracy of a fibre optic measurement system?



Length change: $0 \le \Delta L \le 30$ cm

Static Strain Calibration

Design

- Controlled length changes
- Reference values by laser interferometer
- Fully automated operation
- Gage length up to 30m
- Accuracy of reference value ca. 0.2µm





Test features

- Calibration of various sensor types (FBG, SOFO, DFOS cables, ...)
- Calibration of bare fibres or sensors with housing
- System calibration
 - Calibration of complete system with instrument sensor adapter





Example

Calibration of FBG sensor



Calibration function given by the manufacturer



Example

Calibration of FBG sensor



 Calibration function given by the manufacturer not sufficient for highest accuracy



Example

- Calibration of DFOS Cable
- Measurement with Rayleigh instrument
- Linear relationship
- Run in effects can be observed
- Variations within ± 5 µm after 1st cycle







Graz University of Technology

28.06.2022

Padova – FOS Calibration



Temperature Calibration

Principle

- Sensing cable placed into water bath or climate chamber
- Temperature cycles







Fibre Optic Calibration



What happens when the reading unit has to be changed?

Is there an offset in the data?



Long term stability: Laboratory verification

Test setup 1

- Standard sensor cable for distributed fibre optic sensing
- Sensor cable is pre-strained with ~3.5% (bare fibre limit for long term)
 (≙ 20 mm at 60 cm base)
- Regular measurements over several months





Long term stability: Laboratory verification





Long term stability: Laboratory verification



Reason for this behaviour?

- Composite sensor cable construction => fiber creeps at high strain
- After 3 months only 1.2% (≙ 7 mm at 60 cm base) are measured instead of 3.5%

Long term stability

Test setup 2

- Permanent laboratory installation with constant strain
- Investigation of long term behaviour





15



Long term stability

Test setup 2

- Marker to detect possible slip of anchors
- Marker to verify stability of anchors
- Measurement of markers with total station

angle readings: $\sigma_{\text{HZ}}\text{=}2.6cc \rightarrow ca.~14 \mu m @~3,3m$







Long term stability

Result

No drift within 3 months





Dynamic Testing

Seafom

- Measuring Sensor Performance (SEAFOM MSP-02)
- 3 stretcher



IGMS Setup

- 3 stretcher with 40 m
- Total length 40 km





Fibre Optic Calibration

Laboratory measurements are nice but what about the real world?



Relocation of River Stream





Measurement Concept

Dam Surface





Strain [%]



Graz University of Technology

28.06.2022

Padova – FOS Calibration



Long term stability: On-site verification





Long term stability: On-site verification

Total station measurements

Length change from coordinates

 $\Delta l = l_{t2} - l_{t1}$

$$l_{ti} = \sqrt{(x_{E_{ti}} - x_{A_{ti}})^2 + (y_{E_{ti}} - y_{A_{ti}})^2 + (z_{E_{ti}} - z_{A_{ti}})^2}$$

DFOS measurements

 Length change from integrated strain measurements

$$\Delta l = l_{Gauge} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \epsilon_i$$







The Gradenbach Observatory





Strain Rosette

Installation

2007



Acceleration and Deceleration Phases





Verification





Comparison Geodetic and Fibre Optic Measurements

2007-2009

Compression phase





2009-2011

Decompression phase







Conclusion

Laboratory calibration

- Special infrastructure needed due to high resolution of FOS measurements
- Some sensor cables show drifts
- Errors up to 10% without calibration



Field validation

- External geodetic measurements can independently verify FOS
- Other internal point wise measurements e.g. VWS can verify FOS







Thank you for your attention

Graz University of Technology

28.06.2022