

OST

Ostschweizer Fachhochschule

Fibradike:

UN SISTEMA A FIBRA OTTICA DISTRIBUITO PER IL MONITORAGGIO DI FILTRAZIONE, EROSIONE INTERNA E ESTERNA DI ARGINI E ALVEI FLUVIALI

Alessio Höttges Prof. Carlo Rabaiotti

IBU, Institut für Bauingenieurwesen, OST, Rapperswil, Switzerland

Dr. Massimo Facchini

Iridis Solutions GmbH Zürich, Switzerland

28 June 2022



OST

Ostschweizer

Fibradike:

A DISTRIBUTED FIBER OPTIC SYSTEM FOR MONITORING FILTRATION, INTERNAL AND EXTERNAL EROSION OF RIVERBANKS AND RIVERBEDS

Alessio Höttges Prof. Carlo Rabaiotti

IBU, Institut für Bauingenieurwesen, OST, Rapperswil, Switzerland

Dr. Massimo Facchini

Iridis Solutions GmbH Zürich, Switzerland

28 June 2022

Agenda - FIBRADIKE

- 1. Project stakeholders
- 2. Project motivation, applications
- 3. Technical Requirements
- 4. Distributed Fiber Optic Systems (DFOS)
- 5. Evaluation of different sensor designs
- 6. Technical solution, patent
- 7. Final sensor
- 8. Field verification : Borretto, Wallis
- 9. Upcoming activities

Facchini, Höttges, Rabaiotti





Project stakeholders

Funding

FOEN Swiss Federal Office for the Environment

Cantonal Service for Flood protection of the 3rd Rhone (VS)

Partners

- □ VAW from ETHZ Laboratory of Hydraulics. Hydrology and Glaciology at the ETH Zürich
- AIPo Interregional Agency of the Po river
- SOLIFOS AG Cable production company
- IWK at OST Institute for Materials Technology and Plastics Processing
- IRIDIS Solutions Fiber Optic Specialist
- SISGEO Geotechnical Monitoring Instrumentation Manufacturer Company



Bundesamt für Umwelt BAFU Office fédéral de l'environnement OFEV Ufficio federale dell'ambiente UFAM Uffizi federal d'ambient UFAM



Laboratory of Hydraulics, Hydrology and Glaciology





INSTITUT FÜR WERKSTOFFTECHNIK UND KUNSTSTOFFVERARBEITUNG







Project goals

- The main objective of the project is to develop a new DFOS monitoring system and methodology for identifying, locating and quantifying in real time
 - Stability issues of levees
 - Erosion and scouring of riverbeds and embankments
 - Interchange between groundwater and river flow
- The monitoring system and methodology require:
 - A dedicated, functionalized FO sensing cable for Distributed Pressure Sensing
 - Definition of ad hoc installation procedures
 - Choice of appropriate measurement instrumentation
 - Development of measurement data analysis models







Project motivation, applications

Levee monitoring

Traditional measurement systems

- Carried out manually
- Insufficient data for failure prediction
- Large human resources / time required

Casagrande Piezo (Monitoring Well)

Standpipe Piezomete

- Hard interpretation
- Spatially discrete, point measurements



 Automated measurements



OST

- Direct measurement of physical parameters in the soil
- Spatially continuous
- Timely dense data can be fed in Al prediction models



Technical requirements

Distributed pore water pressure transducer

- <u>Spatially distributed</u> and reliable fiber-optic sensor for measuring pore water pressure with <u>high resolution</u> and high accuracy.
- The measuring range of the pore water pressure sensor should cover the range of pressures
 - □ from 0.1 meters of water column or 1 kPa
 - to 100 meters of water column or 1 MPa
- The developed sensor could replace standard piezometers



Implementation of fiber optics sensing to levees monitoring



iridis**solutions**

OST

Technical requirements

Installation procedure, multiparameter sensitivity

- Compatibility with field installation 1. ✓ directional drilling
- Suitable for continuously monitoring 2. long lengths (x 10 km)
- Compatibility with existing DFOS 3. measurement techniques:
 - ✓ Rayleigh
 - ✓ Brillouin
- Multi-parameter sensitivity: 4.
 - ✓ pore water pressure
 - ✓ total pressure
 - ✓ soil deformation
 - ✓ temperature
 - ✓ (humidity)





Distributed Fiber Optic Systems (DFOS)

What is DFOS technology?

- By interrogating the natural backscattering of light within the optical fiber, strain and temperature can be derived
- The optical fiber itself acts as a measurement sensor → "distributed"



Components of the sensing system

□ Sensors (FOS)



FO Interrogator

Measurements & data analysis





28 June 2022

How does DFOS work?

11

 The evolution of the frequency shift continuously measured at each position along the sensor depends linearly on strain and temperature:



How does DFOS work?

 The evolution of the frequency shift continuously measured at each position along the sensor depends linearly on strain and temperature:



Δv : Frequency shift	[Hz]
$\mu \varepsilon$: Micro Strain	$[10^{-6}]$
C_{ε} : Mechanical strain coefficient of the sensor	$\left[\frac{Hz}{\mu\varepsilon}\right]$
C_T : Temperature coefficient of the cable	$\left[\frac{Hz}{\mu\varepsilon}\right]$

DFO Sensing	Strain	Temperature
technology	Coefficient*	Coefficient*
Rayleigh	-0.1499	-1.5674
OFDR	MHz/με	MHz/°C
Brillouin	0.0507	1.0018
BOTDA/BOFDA	MHz/με	MHz/°C

* for bare standard single mode optical fiber





Advantages and characteristics of DFOS systems

- High spatial resolution and range of several kilometers
- High sensitivity to mechanical strain and temperature
- Sensors resilient to harsh environment (no corrosion in water, ruggedizes designs available)

DFO Sensing technology	Spatial Resolution	Range	Acquisition	Strain Accuracy
Rayleigh OFDR	± 0.1 mm	70 m (< 2 km)	5-10 s (250Hz up to 50m)	± 1 με
Brillouin BOTDA/BOFDA	Brillouin DTDA/BOFDA 0.2 m to 10 m		1-15 min	2 με



From standard fiber optic cable to engineered DPS sensor

• Measurement principle:

Hydrostatic pressure induces strain along the sensing fiber, which is detected by DFOS







Evaluation of different sensor designs

Evaluation of FOS with radial simmetry

- Tight buffered Single Mode Fiber
 - Outer diameter: 0.9 mm
 - Tensile strength: ~15 N/%



- Outer diameter: 2.8 mm
- Tensile strength: 26 N/%







Hydrostatic pressure sensitivity test

Hydrostatic pressure chambers

• 1 – 50 bar (~500 m water column)



• 50 – 200 bar (~2000 m water column)





Evaluation of different sensor designs

Hydrostatic pressure sensitivity test

Spatially distributed strain Measurements performed with Luna OBR



DSS-V1 straight	DSS-V1 with geom. overlength	DSS-V1 with geom. overlength
1 – 50 bar	1 – 50 bar	50 - 160 bar
-6.88 µɛ/bar	-6.50 με/bar	-6.09 µɛ/bar

- Pressure-strain coefficient:
 - $Cp = \sim -6 \ \mu\epsilon / 10 \ m$ (water column)
- Hydrostatic pressure measurement accuracy:
- 3 m (water column)

18 Facchini, Höttges, Rabaiotti

•







Hydrostatic pressure sensitivity test

Spatially distributed strain Measurements performed with Luna OBR

Cable type: **Tight buffered** • optical fiber OD: 0.9 mm



Tight-buffer with geom. overlength	Tight-buffer straight
50 - 140 bar	150 – 200 bar
-0.97 με/bar	slippage

- Pressure-strain coefficient:
 - Cp = ~ -0.97 με / 10 m (water column)
- Hydrostatic pressure measurement accuracy:
 - ~20 m (water column) •

19 Facchini, Höttges, Rabaiotti



28 June 2022

Hydrostatic pressure tests in laboratory - Instrumentation

- Test setup
- Measuring system:
 - Rayleigh \rightarrow OBR 4600
 - Brillouin → BOTDA Omnisens

- Type of test
 - Pressure chamber 2 m length
 - Pressure controlled with GDS
 - Pressure range: 20 200 kPa (20 kPa Steps)





Evaluation of DPS prototypes with helically stranded fiber(s)



Hand-made **prototypes**:

- **Different designs** in terms of:
 - Tube material: Soft Polyurethan (PU)
 - Helix pitch: 2 - 5.5 cm ٠
 - Ø Tube: 6, 8, 10, 12 mm •
 - Fiber type: Upbuffered SMF, bend-optimized ٠
 - Outer sheath: Silicon, Shrinking tube







Evaluation of DPS prototypes with helically stranded fiber(s)

- Results V 03 OBR (Rayleigh)
 - Spatial resolution : 1 mm
 - Strain Pressure: $\mu \epsilon = -3.06^{*} \sigma$ -6.93, R2= 0.884
 - Cp = **3.06** $\frac{\mu\varepsilon}{kPa}$

 \rightarrow Pressure accuracy ~15 cm (water col.)





Evaluation of different sensor designs

Evaluation of DPS prototypes with helically stranded fiber(s)

- Results V 03 BOTDA (Brillouin)
 - Spatial resolution : 1 meter
 - Strain Pressure: $\mu\epsilon = -2.92^*\sigma$ -12.28, R2= 0.953
 - Cp = **2.92** $\mu \varepsilon / kPa$

 \rightarrow Pressure accuracy ~15 cm (water col.)



ld	Material	Ø [mm]	t [mm]	I [mm ⁴]	0 [-]	p [cm]	FO
V03	Polyurethan	6.0	1.0	51.0	Tube	2.5	G.657



Evaluation of DPS prototypes with helically stranded fiber(s)



↓ Pitch length →
 ↑ pressure sensitivity

Bend-optimized FO → ↓ optical attenuation





Name	Material	Diameter	Thickness	2° Moment	Outer	Pitch	Fiber
N [-]	M [-]	Ø [mm]	t [mm]	I [mm ⁴]	0 [-]	p [cm]	F [-]
V00	Neopren	6.0	6.0	63.6	Silicon	4.5	Vollader
V01	Polyurethan	6.0	1.0	51.0	Silicon	4.5	Vollader
V02	Polyurethan	6.0	1.0	51.0	Tube	2.5	Vollader
V03	Polyurethan	6.0	1.0	51.0	Tube	2.0	Bending
V04	Polyurethan	8.0	1.5	170.4	Tube	5.5	Vollader
V05	Polyurethan	8.0	1.5	170.4	Tube	2.0	Bending
V06	Polyurethan	10.0	1.75	403.3	Tube	5.5	Vollader
V07	Polyurethan	10.0	1.75	403.3	Tube	2.0	Bending
V08	Polyurethan	12.0	2.0	816.8	Tube	5.5	Vollader
V09	Polyurethan	12.0	2.0	816.8	Tube	2.5	Bending

iridis**solutions**

28 June 2022



Evaluation of different sensor designs

DPS industrial prototypes

- Industrial production:
 - Central element:
 - Empty tube, soft filled element
 - Central element material: Soft/Hard PU, aramid filling
 - Stranding pitch length:
 2.5 6.0 cm
 - Sensor outer diameter:
 OD = 9 mm
 - Fiber type:

Bend-optimized Tight-buffer







OST



DPS sensor – Final design

Design

- Center element:
 - Temperature compensation fibers
 - Longitudinal force bearing element •
- Suitable stranding pitch:
 - sensitivity VS optical attenuation
- Bend-optimized fibers
 - SMF
- Multilayer sheathing for suitable sensitivity and mechanical protection



DPS sensor – Final design

Product

- Center element:
 - Temperature compensation fibers (2 MMF + 2 SMF)
 - Longitudinal force bearing element
- Suitable stranding pitch:
 - sensitivity VS optical attenuation
- Bend-optimized fibers
 - SMF 125 or 80 μm
- Multilayer sheathing for suitable sensitivity and mechanical protection
- Sensor outer diameter: 9 mm •



Evaluation of pressure sensitivity of DPS industrial sensor

- Pressure-strain coefficient
 - $Cp = -10.99 \left[\frac{\mu\varepsilon}{kPa}\right]$
- Equivalent to DPS sensitivity of
 - ~2 cm of water column



32



Design check, optical and mechanical tests

- Crush resistance for optimal sheathing definition
- In-line stranding pitch length
- Optical attenuation









Technical solution, patent

Patent application

• "Sensor system for measuring fluid pressure and method of manufacturing a sensor system"







Evaluation of different sensor designs



Sandbox test of prototypes with mounted piezometer filter

- With water (no sand)
 - Load steps: 1 6 kPa
- Topped with sand
 - Friction angle ϕ 44.2 Grad
 - Cohesion factor c = 3.09 kPa









iridis**solutions**

OST

Grundriss_Damm 2



Sandbox test of prototypes with mounted piezometer filters





Sandbox test of prototypes with mounted piezometer filters

• Results V 07.02 - OBR

N [-]	M [-]	Ø [mm]	t [mm]	I [mm ⁴]	0 [-]	p [cm]	F [-]	Piezometer [-]	OBR [με/kPa] Pressure chamber	<i>OBR</i> [με/kPa] Large Sandbox Without sand
V07.02	PU	10.0	1.75	403.3	Tube	2.0	Bending	4 Water Stone	7.13	12





Sandbox test of prototypes with mounted piezometer filters

- Results V 07.02 OBR
 - Time-spatial evolution ٠

N [-]	M [-]	Ø [mm]	t [mm]	I [mm ⁴]	0 [-]	p [cm]	F [-]	Piezometer [–]	OBR [με/kPa] Pressure chamber	<i>OBR</i> [με/kPa] Large Sandbox Without sand
V07.02	PU	10.0	1.75	403.3	Tube	2.0	Bending	4 Water Stone	7.13	12

iridis**solutions**

OST

Sickerkurve in Damm mit H-Level Sensoren



Final sensor

Sandbox test of prototypes with mounted piezometer filter

- Ongoing evaluations:
 - Temperature effects
 - Sensitivity to total earth pressure (segments of cable not covered by rigid porous filters)
- Hydrogeological events:
 - Piping
 - Other
- Test further versions of sensor:
 - Industrial DPS cable
 - Industrial piezometric filter





iridis**solutions** OST

Piezometric filter

Joint development with geotechnical instrumentation company





Continuous piezometric filter configuration







Validation in a full scale test

• Testing facility in Boretto (Italy)





Validation in a full scale test

- Experimental embankment:
 - Length 85 [m]
 - Width 35 [m]
 - Height 3.5 [m]
 - Slope 1:2 [-]

- Experimental embankment
- Divided in two types constructed with two different materials:









OST

Upcoming activities

Validation in a full scale test

- Instrumentation divided in 2 types: ٠
 - **Ex Novo Levee** •



Existing Levee (retrofit)



Validation in a full scale test

- Instrumentation divided in 2 types:
 - DFO sensors:
 - Strain
 - Temperature
 - Water Head
 - Conventional:
 - Inclinometer
 - Piezometer
 - Tensiometer





Validation in a full scale test – Installation procedure

- Instrumentation methodology for the existing type levee (retrofit):
 - Horizontal Directional Drilling (HDD)
 - Transversally
 - Longitudinally





Interpretation

- Interpretation of the data with numerical and analytical models:
 - Dam filtration and seepages response
 - Erosion
 - Dam stability
- Software
 - Comsol
 - Plaxis
 - Material Point Method software





Project continuation

• The new DPS monitoring system will be implemented in a real levee on the Rhône river







Project Timeline





Team

Who does the real work...

- Alessio Höttges, IBU OST
- Prof. Carlo Rabaiotti, IBU OST
- Isabel Sara Bohren, IBU OST
- Eliane Geller, stud OST
- R. Züger, stud OST
- Fabian Kobler, stud OST
- Hänni Lars, stud OST
- Silvan Walker, IWK OST
- Prof. Daniel Schwendemann, IWK OST
- Alessandro Rosso, AIPO
- Agnese Bassi, AIPO
- Dr. Massimo Facchini, Iridis Solutions

and all the precious partners for funding, producing, organizing, discussing, supporting...



